# Multi-year study on field application of sugarcane bagasse biochar

Isabel Lima – USDA-ARS, New Orleans, LA Paul White – USDA-ARS, Houma, LA Charles Webber – USDA-ARS, Houma, LA



#### **Presentation Layout**



- Sugarcane production
- Problem Statement
- Biochar manufacture
- Field Set-up and Harvest
- Biochar Characterization
- Biochar Field Harvest Results
- Conclusions
- Future Work





## **Sugarcane Trivia**

• Sugarcane (Saccharum) is a tall perennial grass.



- Native to both warm temperate, sub tropical and tropical regions, grown in more than 90 countries.
- Cultivars are complex hybrids and one of most efficient photo-synthesizers in plant kingdom.
- Fibrous stalks grow 2 to 4 m tall and accumulate sucrose.
- Sugarcane is world's largest crop by production quantity with 64 million acres and annual production of 1.83 billion tons, generating 280 MMT biomass residues.
- Brazil, India, China, Thailand, Pakistan & Mexico, U.S. is 10<sup>th</sup> with 1.6% of total.
- Cane accounts for 80% of sugar produced.



## **Louisiana Sugarcane Production**

- 11 operating sugar factories
- 420,000 acres of sugarcane, world's northernmost sugarcane crop, covering 22 Louisiana parishes
- 13 M tons sugarcane processed; 1.4 M tons raw sugar produced (1/2 LA Superdome)
- Major part of LA economy: \$2 billion industry, 17,000 employees
- High fiber energy cane



#### ACREAGE SUGAR PRODUCTION INDUSTRY **CANE PRODUCTION** YIELD MOLASSES Cane Ground Recover Per For Sugar Gross Cane Harvested Raw Value Gross Ton Gross Ton Per Acre Per Acre Number Planted of Farms ofMills Number Sugar Gross Total Sugar Crop Year Per 80 Brix % # # pounds pounds short tons gallons acres tons tons acres 1.180 37 1974 322,455 7.85 41,956,517 307,722 593,922 3,860 157 7,566,270 24.6 1975 330,861 308,000 643,652 4.180 157 7,273,509 23.6 8.85 40,361,988 1,214 36 1976 328,011 291,000 644,800 4,432 177 8,599,287 29.6 47,060,076 1.178 34 7.50 1977 323,633 304,000 668,175 4,392 8,327,441 27.4 8.02 43,501,022 33 150 1.103 1978 288,847 268,000 550,352 4,107 160 6,112,517 22.8 9.00 31,413,562 977 28 2009 421,000 7,415 391,000 1,449,575 208 13,967,304 35.7 10.38 71,419,876 510 11 2010 420,000 390.000 1,401,145 12,111,932 31.1 7,184 231 11.56 68,051,613 510 11 11,916,887 31.3 2011 408,000 381,000 1,405,313 7,387 236 11.80 69,522,277 490 11 2012 427,000 397,000 1,706,687 14,722,225 37.2 8,629 232 11.59 79,220,681 475 11 2013 440,000 409,000 1,589,367 7,771 227 14,035,540 34.3 11.32 73,641,752 475 11 2014 413,000 380,000 1,509,768 7,963 237 12,760,857 33.6 11.83 70,292,829 451 11 2015 410,000 382,000 1,417,633 7,413 222 12,756,470 33.4 11.10 64,189,200 450 11 1 1 1 92 **69** 36 % % %

#### LOUISIANA SUGARCANE INDUSTRY PRODUCTION DATA 1974 TO 2015

#### The leftovers...



225

- Major by-products of crystalline sucrose manufacture from cane:
  - sugarcane molasses and bagasse (factory); 4 M tons; 20% surplus (0.8 M t)
  - sugarcane extraneous leafy material (field); ~ 6 dry t/acre, 2.5 M t Louisiana
- Minor by-products of crystalline sucrose manufacture from cane:
  - fly ash, filter cake, lime and calcium carbonate residues
- Bagasse: most important by-product by volume (50% MC), primary source of fuel – steam & electricity generation to operate sugarcane factories
- Commercially-viable value-added products:
  - animal feed, mulch, fuel, biochar, particle board, 2<sup>nd</sup> gen. biofuels





Pictures taken from: afdc.energy.gov; bioenergyconsult.com; tower.co.za; energy.agwired.com

#### From Trash to Treasure - Why residues?

- Plentiful, cheap and renewable resource
- Contain "intrinsic properties"
- Liability to growers and processors



- Adding value by locally converting sugarcane bagasse and leaf trash into biochars via thermo-chemical pyrolysis and bring back to fertilize the soil.
- Possibly realize profits for growers, factories and refineries while helping to protect the environment and public health.



#### **Biochar Production**



## **Field Study**

• <u>Feedstock</u>: sugarcane leaf residue & bagasse, fly ash.



- <u>Soil</u>: Cancienne silt loam under continuous sugarcane production (> 40 yr), 101 km SW New Orleans.
- <u>Field</u>: In 2013, single seed cane applications in-furrow before soil covering. Fertilizer N (112 kg.ha-1), K (67 kg ha-1) applied each Spring. Pest management according to BMPs.
- <u>Treatments</u>: BG or TR biochar at either 800 or 1,600 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup>; FA at either 630 or 1,260 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup>; control: no treatment; 4 reps.
- <u>Harvest</u>: millable stalks counted each year (2014-17). Ten stalks cut per plot, weighed, crushed. Juice extracted, analyzed for % Brix and % sucrose. Yields calculated using stalk counts (ha<sup>-1</sup>), fresh weight (kg.stalk<sup>-1</sup>), and TRS (kg sucrose.t<sup>-1</sup> cane).





#### **Biochar Set-up**



#### **Biochar Application and Sugarcane Planting**



#### **Biochar Application and Sugarcane Planting**





#### **Row Cover and Sugar Cane Growth Check**



#### **Sugar Cane Harvest**



#### **Sugar Cane Preparation**



#### **Sugar Cane Juice Extraction**





#### **SEM Micrographs - Biochar from Trash Residue**





æ





#### **SEM Micrographs - Biochar from Bagasse**







#### **Biochar Physico-Chemical Properties**

æ

	Yield %	Surf. Area m²/g	рН	Density g/cm <sup>3</sup>	C %	Ο%
Leaf Trash	- /0 0+1 7	- 22 5+11 5	- 9 65+0 03	-	45.8 45.9	22.5
Bagasse	-	- 1/8+11	9.65±0.03	-	43.7	37.7
Fly Ash	-	Negl.	10.5±0.01	0.228	-	-
Soil			6.7	1.25		





#### **Proximate Analysis**

æ

Sampla		C <sub>vol</sub>	<b>C</b> <sub>fixed</sub>	Ash	HHV
Sample		%	%	%	BTU/lb
Leaf Trash	raw	74.7	19.3	6.0	7922
	biochar	10.8	59.8	29.4	9713
Bagasse	raw	75.0	15.6	9.4	7367
	biochar	10.1	63.8	26.1	10291
Fly Ash		5.43	4.31	90.3	716



growth of aromatic structures & polymerization reactions



carbon enrichment & development of porosity as VM is removed



#### **Biochar Composition - Select Elements (mg.g<sup>-1</sup>)**

**des** 

Sample	Р	Mn	Са	К	Fe
Leaf Trash	0.90 ± 0.04	0.12 ± 0.01	4.31 ± 0.26	8.91 ± 0.46	2.59 ± 0.84
BC	$2.53\pm0.06$	$0.20\pm0.00$	$11.9\pm0.4$	$23.9\pm0.7$	$2.25\pm0.32$
Bagasse	$0.23\pm0.00$	$0.06\pm0.00$	$0.98\pm0.21$	$1.79\pm0.06$	1.07 ± 0.00
BC	1.07 ± 0.02	$0.26\pm0.02$	6.88 ± .22	$8.65\pm0.27$	$6.89\pm0.63$
Fly Ash	3.12 ± 0.09	0.03 ± 0.00	0.03 ± 0.00	19.4 ± 0.04	12.8 ± 1.22



#### **Yield Results, All Treatments**

Cane Yield (ton/ha)

Num Den						
Effect	DF	DF	F Value	<u>Pr &gt; F</u>		
trt	14	180	1.87	<mark>0.0325</mark>		
year	3	180	8.59	<mark>&lt;.0001</mark>		
trt*year	42	180	0.47	0.9973		

Obs	s trt	Estimate	Error	Group
1	tra1	57.4	2.38	А
2	bag2	55.8	2.38	AB
3	tra2fa1	55.2	2.38	AB
4	bag1fa2	54.6	2.38	AB
5	bag2fa1	53.7	2.38	AB
6	bag2fa2	53.5	2.38	AB
7	bag1fa1	52.9	2.38	ABC
8	tra1fa1	51.9	2.38	ABCD
9	tra1fa2	51.4	2.38	ABCD
10	bag1	51.1	2.38	ABCD
11	tra2fa2	50.6	2.38	BCD
12	fa2	49.9	2.38	BCD
13	fa1	49.2	2.38	BCD
14	tra2	46.8	2.38	CD
15	control	45.6	2.38	D

#### TRS (Kg/ton)

	Num	Den		
Effect	DF	DF	F Value	Pr > F
trt	14	177	1.98	<mark>0.0219</mark>
year	3	177	95.49	<mark>&lt;.0001</mark>
trt*year	42	177	0.76	0.8531

Obs	trt	Estimate	Error	Group
1	fa2	210.81	3.61	А
2	tra2	207.97	3.61	AB
3	fa1	206.07	3.61	ABC
4	bag2fa1	205.29	3.61	ABCD
5	bag1fa1	205.00	3.61	ABCD
6	tra2fa2	203.27	3.61	ABCDE
7	tra1fa1	202.35	3.61	ABCDE
8	tra1	201.62	3.61	ABCDE
9	bag1fa2	200.60	3.61	BCDE
10	tra1fa2	199.78	3.61	BCDE
11	bag1	198.37	3.61	BCDE
12	bag2fa2	197.43	3.61	CDE
13	tra2fa1	195.93	3.61	DE
14	bag2	195.88	3.61	DE
15	control	193.99	3.61	E



#### Sugar Yield Results (t/ac), Subgroups

- > control = control
- bagasse = bag1, bag2, bag1fa1, bag1fa2, bag2fa1, bag2fa2
- flyash = flyash1 and 2
- trash = same as bagasse

	Num	Den			
Effect	DF	DF	F Value	<u>Pr &gt;</u>	<u>&gt; F</u>
trt	3	221	3.98	<mark>0.00</mark>	) <mark>87</mark>
year	3	221	0.30	0.82	36
trt*year	9	221	1.30	0.23	75
<u>Obs</u> trt		Estima	ite Erroi	- G	Group
1 baga	asse	1072	3 219	9.3	Α
2 trash	ו	1049	07 21	9.3	Α
3 fly a	sh	1031	18 21	9.3	Α
4 cont	rol	878	37 21	9.3	В

> treatments tra1, bag1, fa1, vs control

Effect	Num DF	Den DF	F Value	Pr > F	
subtrt	3	45	7.16	<mark>0.0005</mark>	
year	3	45	0.38	0.7667	
year*sub	rt 9	45	1.77	0.1001	
Obs trt	E	stimate	e Error	Group	
1 trash		11572	2 767.	.72 A	
2 fly as	sh	1016	<b>767</b> .	72 B	
3 baga	sse	1012	8 767.	72 B	
4 contr	ol	878	7 767.	.72 C	

Treatments: tra1, tra2, fa1, fa2, bag1, bag2, control

. /	

	Num	Den		
Effect	DF	DF	F Value	Pr > F
trt	6	81	3.00	<mark>0.0106</mark>
year	3	81	0.44	0.7272
trt*year	18	81	1.12	0.3468

Obs	trt	Estimate	Error	Group
1	tra1	11572	713.28	Α
2	bag2	10987	713.28	AB
3	fa2	10477	713.28	AB
4	fa1	10160	713.28	ABC
5	bag1	10128	713.28	ABC
6	tra2	9712	713.28	BC
7	control	8787	713.28	С

#### > treatments tra2, bag2, fa2, vs control

Nur			Den			
			DF	F value	Pr > F	
subtrt		3	45	2.96	<mark>0.0421</mark>	
year		3	45	0.10	0.9572	
year*subtrt		9	45	1.14	0.3554	
Obs trt		E	stimate	Error	Group	
1	bagasse	•	10987	606.4	2 A	
2	fly ash		10477	606.4	2 A	
3	trash		9712	606.4	2 AB	
4	control		8787	606.4	2 B	

#### **Overall Trends**

- ✓ Data represent means of four crop years (2014-2017) of harvested sugar cane.
- $\checkmark$  TR = Trash biochar; BG = bagasse biochar; FA = fly ash

Treatment	Millable stalks (ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Sugarcane yield (ton ha <sup>-1</sup> )	TRS (kg Mg <sup>-1</sup> )	Sucrose yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
Control	87,500 BC*	<b>102.4</b> C	97.0 C	<b>9,850</b> C
TR 1	96,050 A	<b>128.7</b> A	100.8 ABC	<b>12,970</b> A
TR 2	<b>82,940</b> C	<b>104.9</b> C	<b>104.0</b> A	10,890 BC
BG 1	88,650 ABC	114.5 BC	99.2 BC	11,350 ABC
BG 2	<b>94,700</b> AB	<b>125.0</b> AB	97.9 C	<b>12,320</b> AB
FA 1	87,310 BC	<b>110.3</b> C	103.0 AB	11,390 ABC
FA 2	89,100 ABC	112.0 BC	<b>105.4</b> A	11,740 AB

Sugarcane yield increased following biochar and fly ash additions at two rates to soil at planting.

TRS and Sucrose yield also increased for all treatments as compared to control

#### **Weather Patterns**



#### Conclusions

- æ
- Biochar contributes needed nutrients to the sugarcane and builds soil organic carbon.
- Biochar addition to soil can be seen as a sustainable means to sequester carbon by increasing the recalcitrant pool of soil carbon.
- Biochar additions increased soil pH and soil fertility by providing plant macronutrients such as Ca, K, Mn and P.
- Adding biochar to the soil consistently resulted in improvements in both total sugar as well as total cane yield when compared to the control.
- Field application of TR biochar to soil increased stalk count, cane, and sucrose yield by 8,550 stalks ha-1, 26.3 t ha-1, and 3,120 kg ha-1, respectively.
- Sucrose yield for BG-2 and FA-2 treated plots was 2,470 and 1,890 kg ha-1 greater, respectively, than control plots.



## **Research Benefits to the LA Sugar Industry**

• Envision new income streams for sugarcane growers and processors:

- Utilize a sustainable soil management approach, adding carbon to soil and reducing fertilizer costs
- Valuable information for assessments of the sugar industry role in alternative energy market.





## Part of this research was funded by the American Sugarcane League









